

1 **Sanjay S. Schmidt (SBN 247475)**  
2 **LAW OFFICE OF SANJAY S. SCHMIDT**  
3 1388 Sutter Street, Suite 810  
4 San Francisco, CA 94109  
5 Tel. (415) 563-8583  
6 Fax. (415) 223-9717  
7 e-mail: ss@sanjayschmidtlaw.com\_

8 **TAI C. BOGAN (SBN 241784)**  
9 **THE BOGAN LAW FIRM, APC**  
10 615 13<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite A  
11 Modesto, CA 95354  
12 Tel. (209) 566-9591 x 101  
13 Fax. (209) 566-9668  
14 e-mail: tai.bogan@theboganlawfirm.com

15 *Attorneys for Plaintiff,*  
16 **BRANDON GRAY**

17 PATRICK D. MORIARTY, State Bar No. 213185  
18 pmoriarty@aghlaw.com  
19 JOHN B. ROBINSON, State Bar No. 297065  
20 jrobinson@aghlaw.com  
21 ALLEN, GLAESSNER, HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP  
22 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200  
23 San Francisco, CA 94104  
24 Tel.: (415) 697-2000  
25 Fax: (415) 813-2045

26 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
27 **CITY OF MODESTO**

28 Bruce D. Praet (SBN 119430)  
FERGUSON, PRAET & SHERMAN  
A Professional Corporation  
1631 East 18<sup>th</sup> Street,  
Santa Ana, CA 92705  
Tel. (714) 953-5300  
Fax. (714) 953-1143  
e-mail: Bpraet@aol.com

*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**CITY OF OAKDALE**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
FRESNO COURTHOUSE

**BRANDON GRAY,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**CITY OF OAKDALE**, a municipal corporation; City of Oakdale Police Department Officers **DOES 1-10**, individually; **CITY OF MODESTO**, a municipal corporation; City of Modesto Police Department Officers **DOES 11-20**, individually; **COUNTY OF STANISLAUS**, a public entity; Stanislaus County Sheriff's Department Deputies **DOES 21-30**, individually; California Highway Patrol Officers **DOES 31-40**, individually; and **DOES 41-100**, Jointly and Severally,

Defendants,

Case No.: 1:21-cv-01086-JLT-BAM

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER TO CONTINUE SCHEDULING  
CONFERENCE**

Plaintiff, BRANDON GRAY ("Plaintiff"), by and through his counsel of record, Defendant CITY OF MODESTO ("CITY"), by and through its counsel of record, Defendant CITY OF OAKDALE, by and through its counsel of record, and Defendant COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, by and through its counsel of record, hereby respectfully stipulate to continuing the initial mandatory scheduling conference for approximately three months, from February 24, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., until May 24, 2022, if that is acceptable and convenient for the Court.

Good cause exists for continuing the initial mandatory scheduling conference because counsel for Plaintiff, the City of Oakdale, and the City of Modesto are now in the process of exchanging documents and are actively engaged in early settlement discussions. Additionally, Plaintiff anticipates dismissing the County of Stanislaus shortly, having now received some documents, and in view of the expectation that more will be received soon; this dismissal will

render the County of Stanislaus's pending motion to dismiss, ECF Nos. 28, 28-1, moot. Continuing the settlement conference while the parties attempt to resolve the matter early, in good faith, will allow counsel for the parties to conserve the time involved in preparing a joint scheduling report, as well as attending the conference, and will allow the Court to conserve the time expended in holding the scheduling conference, and issuing a scheduling report.

The passage of time will not result in prejudice because the parties have learned there is drone footage that captured the incident, which could also help facilitate settlement discussions, there potentially is body worn camera footage, and there is a cellular phone video taken by a bystander.

**IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 17, 2022

ALLEN, GLAESSNER,  
HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP

By: /s/Patrick D. Moriarty\*  
PATRICK D. MORIARTY  
JOHN B. ROBINSON  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CITY OF MODESTO

Dated: February 17, 2022

THE LAW OFFICE OF SANJAY S. SCHMIDT

By: /s/ Sanjay S. Schmidt  
SANJAY S. SCHMIDT  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
BRANDON GRAY

Dated: February 17, 2022

FERGUSON, PRAET & SHERMAN

By: /s/ Bruce D. Praet\*  
BRUCE D. PRAET  
Attorneys for CITY OF OAKDALE  
Defendants

//  
//

1 Dated: February 17, 2022

PORTER SCOTT  
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

2  
3  
4 By: /s/ John R. Whitefleet\*  
5 Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF  
6 STANISLAUS  
7

8 \*Mr. Moriarty, Mr. Praet, and Mr. Whitefleet gave their consent to file this document via  
9 CM-ECF.

10 **ORDER**

11 The Court, having reviewed the parties' stipulation and request, and good cause  
12 appearing, finds and orders as follows:

13 The Scheduling Conference is HEREBY CONTINUED to **May 31, 2022, at 8:30 AM in**  
14 **Courtroom 8 (BAM) before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe**. The parties shall file a  
15 Joint Scheduling Report one week prior to the conference. The parties shall appear at the  
16 conference remotely with each party connecting either via Zoom video conference or Zoom  
17 telephone number. The parties will be provided with the Zoom ID and password by the  
18 Courtroom Deputy prior to the conference. The Zoom ID and password are confidential and are  
19 not to be shared. Appropriate court attire required.  
20

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
22

23 Dated: **February 17, 2022**

/s/ *Barbara A. McAuliffe*  
24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
25  
26  
27  
28